

1 BLAIR V. KITTLE (SBN 336367)
 2 bkittle@cpmlegal.com
COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP*
 3 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
 Burlingame, CA 94010
 4 Telephone: (650) 697-6000

5 *Counsel for Solano, Vitale, Kloepel, Collier,
 Bright Future Solar Energy, LLC, Martin, and
 Bock Plaintiffs*

6
 7 J. DAVID NICK (SBN 157687)
**LAW OFFICES OF J. DAVID NICK,
 APLC***
 8 1111 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way Suite 115
 Palm Springs, CA 92262
 9 Tel: (760) 778-8250
 10 E: jdavidnick@lawyer.com

11
 12 *Counsel for Stack View Farms, Inc. and Smith
 Plaintiffs*

13
 14 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP*
 Austin Schwing (SBN 211696)
 aschwing@gibsondunn.com
 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
 San Francisco, CA 94111-3715
 Telephone: 415.393.8200

15
 16 *Attorney for Defendants Vistra Corp.; Vistra
 Corporate Services Company; Dynegy
 Operating Company; Moss Landing Power
 Company, LLC; Moss Landing Energy Storage
 3, LLC; Luminant Power LLC; and Luminant
 Power Generation, LLC*

17
 18 **QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
 SULLIVAN, LLP***
 Jeffrey N. Boozell (Bar No. 199507)
 jeffboozell@quinnmanuel.com
 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90017
 Telephone: (213) 443-3000

19
 20 *Counsel for Defendants LG Energy Solution
 Arizona, Inc.; and LG Energy Solution Vertech,
 Inc.*

21
 *Additional counsel on signature pages

22
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION**

23
 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

24
*Solano v. Vistra Corp.
 Vitale v. Vistra Corp.
 Kloepel v. Vistra Corp.
 Collier v. Vistra Corp.
 Stack View Farms, Inc. v. Vistra Corp.
 Smith v. Vistra Corp.
 Bright Future Solar Energy, LLC v. Vistra Corp.
 Martin v. Vistra Corp.
 Bock v. Vistra Corp.*

25
 Case No. 5:25-cv-02073-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-02489-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-02800-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-02951-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-04193-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-05407-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-05485-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-05804-EKL
 Case No. 5:25-cv-06869-EKL

26
**SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT CASE
 MANAGEMENT STATEMENT**

27
 Judge Eumi K. Lee
 Courtroom 7, 4th Floor
 Date: January 8, 2026
 Time: 3:00 p.m.

1 On October 16, 2025, Plaintiffs Kim Solano, Luis Solano, The Haute Enchilada, Inc., Haute
 2 Properties, LLC, Sofia Vitale, Jonathan Vitale, Mari Kloepel, Klaus Kloepel, Robert Collier,
 3 Fabienne Boulongne-Collier, Stack View Farms, Inc., David Hyland, Tyler Smith, Bright Future Solar
 4 Energy, Inc., Central Coast Renewables, LLC, and Linda Martin, (collectively with Lou Bock,
 5 “Plaintiffs”); Defendants Vistra Corp., Vistra Corporate Services Company, Dynegy Operating
 6 Company, Moss Landing Power Company, LLC, Moss Landing Energy Storage 3, LLC, Luminant
 7 Power Generation LLC (collectively, “Vistra”); and LG Energy Solution Arizona, Inc. (“LGES
 8 Arizona”), and LG Energy Solution Vertech, Inc. (“LGES Vertech” and together with LGES Arizona,
 9 “LG Defendants”) filed Joint Case Management Statements pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil
 10 Procedure 16 and 26, Civil Local Rule 16-9, and the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern
 11 District of California. The Parties file this Supplemental Joint Case Management Statement in advance
 12 of the January 8, 2026 case management conference to update the Court on developments since those
 13 Joint Case Management Statements were filed.

14 **Jurisdiction and Service**

15 Plaintiffs allege that all Defendants are subject to specific personal jurisdiction in California
 16 because a substantial part of the events and conduct giving rise to Plaintiffs’ claims occurred in
 17 California. The LG Defendants dispute that either LGES Arizona or LGES Vertech are subject to
 18 personal jurisdiction, and the Court granted their motion to dismiss on that basis with leave to amend
 19 in *Solano* and *Vitale*. *Solano*, Dkt. 97 at 49:21–50:5; *see also id.*, Dkt. 93; *Vitale*, Dkt. 68.

20 **Motions and Amendment to Pleadings**

21 ***Motion to Dismiss***. Vistra and the LG Defendants filed motions to dismiss in *Solano v. Vistra*
 22 Corp., No. 5:25-CV-02073-EKL (“*Solano*”), and *Vitale v. Vistra Corp.*, No. 5:25-CV-02489-EKL
 23 (“*Vitale*”). On November 13, 2025, the Court held a hearing on these motions. At the hearing, the
 24 Court granted the LG Defendants’ motions to dismiss with leave to amend based on lack of personal
 25 jurisdiction, granted Vistra’s motion to dismiss in *Vitale* with partial leave to amend, and took Vistra’s
 26 motion to dismiss in *Solano* under submission. The deadline for the plaintiffs to file amended
 27 complaints in *Solano* and *Vitale* will be 14 days after the Court issues a written order regarding Vistra’s
 28 motion to dismiss in *Solano*. Defendants anticipate that they may file motions to dismiss the amended

1 complaints that are to be filed in *Solano* and *Vitale*. The Parties have filed a stipulation that, in the
 2 interests of the parties and judicial efficiency, Defendants will not respond to the complaints in the
 3 other federal cases at this time. Plaintiffs' deadlines to amend their complaints, or inform Defendants
 4 that they do not intend to amend, in all other cases will be thirty (30) days after the Court issues orders
 5 on the motions to dismiss the amended complaints in *Solano* and *Vitale*. Defendants' deadline to
 6 respond to the pleadings is (45) forty-five days after the plaintiffs file, or inform Defendants they do
 7 not intend to file, an amended complaint.

8 ***Judicial Council Coordination Proceedings (“JCCP”) Petition (State Court)***. The state court
 9 plaintiffs filed a JCCP petition (JCCP No. 5427) on October 30, 2025. The Judicial Council has
 10 designated Alameda County for the motion hearing, but no coordination motion trial judge has been
 11 assigned, and no hearing date has been set. Vistra intends to file a notice of non-opposition to the
 12 petition.

13 **Vistra’s Anticipated Motion**

14 Vistra intends to file an administrative motion to relate *Bright Future Solar Energy, Inc. v.*
 15 *Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-05485-EKL (“*Bright Future*”), and *Martin v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-05804-EKL
 16 (“*Martin*”), to *Solano* under Civil L.R. 3-12.

17 **Evidence Preservation**

18 The Plaintiffs in *Schmidt v. Moss Landing Power Company*, 25CV109594 (Alameda Superior
 19 Ct.), filed a “Motion for Preservation Order Regarding Battery Evidence and Predictive Analysis by
 20 Defendants LG Energy Solution, LTD., LG Energy Solution Arizona, Inc., LG Energy Solution
 21 Michigan, Inc., and LG Energy Solution Vertech, Inc,” which requested, among other things, that the
 22 court order those LG entities to apply their algorithm to available data for certain batteries at ML300
 23 and order defendants, including Vistra, to preserve batteries identified by the algorithm. The LG
 24 entities responded to the motion by indicating that they intended to contest personal jurisdiction and
 25 therefore were constrained from responding substantively to the motion. This motion was granted on
 26 December 9, 2025, and the judge entered an order on December 16, 2025, ordering, among other things,
 27 that “the LG Defendants shall produce to all parties the generated list of battery modules flagged in
 28 2025 by the 2025 AI tool,” “must preserve the results of all prior applications of the 2025 AI Tool to

any ML300 data,” “shall promptly run the 2025 AI Tool on the ML300 data that existed just before (or at the time of) the Moss Landing Fire,” and “shall promptly produce the results of the 2025 AI Tool application . . . to all parties,” by December 23, 2025. It additionally ordered Vistra to “preserve 75 unburned batter modules” as selected “following a meet and confer between Vistra and Plaintiffs.” The LG entities contend that the proposed order on which that court’s order was based, which was submitted by Plaintiffs in collaboration with Vistra and without conferring with the LG entities, did not accurately reflect the court’s direction at the hearing and the LG entities will be bringing this issue to that court’s attention.

Initial Disclosures

Plaintiffs and Vistra exchanged Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures on November 24, 2025, and December 5, 2025 (Vistra’s disclosures in *Bock*). LGES Arizona and LGES Vertech have taken the position that they are not required to serve initial disclosures at this time in light of the Court’s ruling in *Solano* and *Vitale* that it lacks personal jurisdiction over either entity, a ruling that they contend applies equally to the other federal cases.

Discovery

The Parties agree it is important to coordinate discovery with the state court cases and, as such, are awaiting a decision on the JCCP petition before submitting a proposed discovery schedule.

Related Cases

Since the initial Joint Case Management Statements were filed, *Stack View* and *Bock* were formally related to *Solano* and reassigned to Judge Eumi K. Lee. *Bright Future Solar Energy, Inc. v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-05485-EKL and *Martin v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-05804-EKL, while already assigned to Judge Eumi K. Lee, have not formally been related to *Solano* under Civil L.R. 3-12. Vistra intends to file an administrative motion to relate these cases. Two additional state court cases have been filed since October 16: *Monterey Bay Eco Tours, LLC v. Vistra Corp.*, 25CV153591 (Alameda Superior Ct.) (“*Monterey Bay Eco Tours*”), and *Johnson v. Moss Landing Power Company*, (case number not assigned yet) (Alameda Superior Ct.). Vistra provided notice to the Judicial Counsel of California that *Monterey Bay Eco Tours* is a potential add-on case for inclusion in the JCCP.

1 Below is a list of all cases:

2 **Federal:** *Solano v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-CV-02073-EKL; *Vitale v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-02489-
3 EKL; *Kloeppel v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-02800-EKL; *Collier v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-02951-EKL;
4 *Stack View Farms Inc. v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-04193-EKL; *Smith v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-5407-EKL;
5 *Bright Future Solar Energy, Inc. v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-05485-EKL; *Martin v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-
6 cv-05804-EKL; and *Bock v. Vistra Corp.*, 5:25-cv-06869-EKL.

7 **State:** *Vierra v. Moss Landing Power Company, LLC*, 25CV001943 (Monterey Superior Ct.);
8 *Schmidt v. Vistra Corp.*, 25CV109594 (Alameda Superior Ct.); *Roeder v. Moss Landing Power
Company, LLC*, 25CV114147 (Alameda Superior Ct.); *Jackson v. Vistra Corp.*, 25CV116109
(Alameda Superior Ct.); *Monterey Bay Eco Tours, LLC v. Vistra Corp.*, 25CV153591 (Alameda
Superior Ct.); and *Johnson v. Moss Landing Power Company* (case number not assigned yet) (Alameda
Superior Ct.).

13 **Settlement and ADR**

14 The Parties maintain that an early resolution is unlikely at this time.

15 **Scheduling**

16 The Parties believe a scheduling order is premature while another round of motion to dismiss
17 briefing is anticipated in *Solano* and *Vitale* and state court coordination has not occurred yet. As
18 discussed with this Court at the hearing on the motions to dismiss in *Solano* and *Vitale*, to the extent
19 these cases survive pleading challenges, it is important that the case structure and schedule be
20 coordinated with the state cases to avoid conflict and ensure efficiency. If the Court does not grant
21 Defendants' motions to dismiss the amended complaints in *Vitale* and *Solano* in full, the Parties agree
22 to confer further on the case schedule and coordinate with the parties in the state court proceedings and
23 present a proposed schedule.

1 Dated: December 23, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

2 By: /s/ Joseph W. Cotchett
3 **COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP**
4 Joseph W. Cotchett (SBN 36324)
5 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com
6 Blair V. Kittle (SBN 336367)
7 bkittle@cpmlegal.com
8 Caroline A. Yuen (SBN 354388)
9 cyuen@cpmlegal.com
Pierce H. Stanley (SBN 352152)
pstanley@cpmlegal.com
Jacqueline Grace Seifert (SBN 363875)
gseifert@cpmlegal.com
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577

10
11 **LAW OFFICE OF MOLLY ERICKSON**
12 Molly E. Erickson (SBN 253198)
erickson@stamplaw.us
PO Box 2448
13 Monterey, CA 93942-2448
Telephone: (831) 373-1214

14 *Counsel for Solano, Vitale, Kloeppe, Collier,
15 Bright Future Solar Energy, LLC, Martin, and
Bock Plaintiffs*

16 Dated: December 23, 2025

17 By: /s/ J. David Nick
18 J. DAVID NICK (SBN 157687)
LAW OFFICES OF J. DAVID NICK, APLC
1111 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way Suite 115
19 Palm Springs, CA 92262
Tel: (760) 778-8250
20 E: jdavidnick@lawyer.com

21 RUSS GOODROW (SBN 248921)
GOODROW LAW OFFICE
3463 State Street, #249
22 Santa Barbara, CA 93105
Tel: (415) 722-7877
E: russ@goodrowlaw.com

23 *Counsel for Stack View Farms, Inc. and Smith
24 Plaintiffs*

1 Dated: December 23, 2025

2 By: /s/ Austin Schwing
3 **GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**
4 Austin Schwing, SBN 211696
5 ASchwing@gibsondunn.com
6 Winston Y. Chan, SBN 214884
7 WChan@gibsondunn.com
8 Deena B. Klaber, SBN 285237
9 DKlaber@gibsondunn.com
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111-3715
Telephone: 415.393.8200

Abbey Hudson, SBN 266885
AHudson@gibsondunn.com
Virginia Somaweera, SBN 318866
VSomaweera@gibsondunn.com
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Telephone: 213.229.7000

Michael Raiff, *pro hac vice*
MRaiff@gibsondunn.com
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100
Dallas, TX 75201-2923
Telephone: 214.698.3100

Attorneys for Defendants Vistra Corp.; Vistra Corporate Services Company; Dynegy Operating Company; Moss Landing Power Company, LLC; Moss Landing Energy Storage 3, LLC; Luminant Power LLC; and Luminant Power Generation, LLC

1 Dated: December 23, 2025

2 By: /s/ Jeffrey N. Boozell
3 **QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &**
4 **SULLIVAN, LLP**
5 Jeffrey N. Boozell (Bar No. 199507)
6 jeffboozell@quinnmanuel.com
7 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
8 Los Angeles, CA 90017
9 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
10 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

11 Eric C. Lyttle
12 ericlyttle@quinnmanuel.com
13 J. Matthew Hamann
14 matthewhamann@quinnmanuel.com
15 1300 I Street, NW, Suite 900
16 Washington, D.C. 20005
17 Telephone: (202) 538-8000
18 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100

19 Patrick J. King
20 patrickking@quinnmanuel.com
21 Melanie Guzman
22 melanieguzman@quinnmanuel.com
23 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 3900
24 Houston, Texas 77002
25 Telephone: (713) 221-7000
26 Facsimile: (713) 221-7100

27 *Counsel for Defendants LG Energy Solution
28 Arizona, Inc.; and LG Energy Solution Vertech,
Inc.*

1 **ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 5-1**

2 The filer attests that the other signatories listed, on whose behalf the filing is also submitted,
3 are registered CM/ECF filers and concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

4 Dated: December 23, 2025

By: /s/ Austin Schwing
5 Austin Schwing

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28